

TPC: Transformation Specific Smoothing for Point Cloud Models Wenda Chu¹, Linyi Li², Bo Li² ¹Tsinghua University ²University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Threat Model	TP
Semantic transformation attacks: • Adversary can manipulate point clouds by semantic transformations. Point Parameter cloud space Parameterized transformations: $\phi : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ Car Rotation	
 Goals Provide certified robustness conditions for point cloud classifiers against various semantic transformation attacks. Design concrete defense strategies and certification protocols for different transformation attacks based on randomized smoothing. 	Tra Def clas
Certification goals: Given a point cloud classifier $h:\mathcal{X} o\mathcal{Y}$ For a specific types of transformation, $\phi:\mathcal{X} imes\mathcal{Z} o\mathcal{X}$,	clas
find a subset of parameters $~~\mathcal{Z}_{ ext{robust}}\subseteq\mathcal{Z}$, such that, $h(\phi(x,z))=h(x), orall x\in\mathcal{X}, z\in\mathcal{Z}_{ ext{robust}}$	Co Inte tha
Transformation Taxonomy We categorize transformations into three classes based on composition property 1. Additive: $\phi(\phi(x, \alpha), \beta) = \phi(x, \alpha + \beta)$ 2. Composable: $\phi(\phi(x, \alpha), \beta) = \phi(x, \gamma_{\alpha}(\beta))$	sati rob Inti
3. Indirectly composable: there exists a composable transformation $\psi: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\psi} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a function $\delta_x: \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}_{\psi}$ with $\phi(x, \alpha) = \psi(\phi(x, \beta), \delta_x(\alpha, \beta)), \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Z}_{\phi}$	tak We
$(a) \text{ Additive}} \begin{array}{c} \text{Shear}(0.5, 0.4) \\ \text{Shear}(0.2, 0.3) \\ \text{Shear}(0.3, 0.1) \\ \text{(a) Additive}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Rotate}(42.18^{\circ}) \\ \text{(a) Additive} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Rotate}(2, 30^{\circ}) \\ \text{(b) Composable} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Rotate}(x, 30^{\circ}) \\ \text{(c) Indirectly composable} \end{array}$	Intu par The
Indirectly Composable Composable• Z-taperAdditive • Z-rotation • Z-twist • Z-shear• Linear • Linear • General rotation• Z-twist × Z-rotation • Cation• Z-shear · Z-shear• Z-twist × Z-rotation• Z-taper × Z-rotation • Cation	Cer •



Insformation specific smoothed classifier

finition 1 (Transformation Specific Smoothed Classifier) Suppose we have a base ssifier $h:\mathcal{X} o\mathcal{Y}$. For a given semantic transformation $\phi\,:\,\mathcal{X} imes\mathcal{Z}\, o\,\mathcal{X}$ and a dom variable ϵ in the parameter space, the transformation specific smoothed ssifier for this transformation is defined as

$$g(x;\epsilon) = \arg\max_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} q(y|x,\epsilon) = \arg\max_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}(p(y|\phi(x,\epsilon)))$$

oncrete certification protocols

uition 1: Additive transformations can be certified following the same protocol as at of additive noises. Suppose the class probability of the smoothed classifier tisfies $q(y_A|x,\epsilon) \ge p_A > p_B \ge \max q(y|x,\epsilon)$. The classifier is guaranteed to be $\|\alpha\|_2 \le \frac{\sigma}{2} \Big(\Phi^{-1}(p_A) - \Phi^{-1}(p_B) \Big), \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}.$ bust if

uition 2: The above does not hold for **composable** but not additive transformations. We ke linear transformations as an example: $\phi(x,lpha)=(I+lpha)x, \quad lpha\in \mathbb{R}^{3 imes 3}$ guarantee the robustness of the smoothed classifier when

$$\|\alpha\|_F \le R, \quad R = \frac{\sigma\Big(\Phi^{-1}(\tilde{p}_A) - \Phi^{-1}(1 - \tilde{p}_A)\Big)}{2 + \sigma\Big(\Phi^{-1}(\tilde{p}_A) - \Phi^{-1}(1 - \tilde{p}_A)\Big)}$$

uition 3: For indirectly composable transformations, we draw multiple samples in the rameter space and certify the neighbor areas of these samples by adding additive noise. ese small certified areas are combined to cover a larger parameter space. rtification Pipeline:

Bound the interpolation error $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Z}} := \max_{lpha \in \mathcal{Z}} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \mathcal{M}(lpha, lpha_i)$ $= \max_{lpha \in \mathcal{Z}} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \| \phi(x, lpha) - \phi(x, lpha_i) \|_2$

Guaranteed to be robust if

$$\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{Z} \leq rac{\sigma}{2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Bigl(\Phi^{-1}(p_A^{(i)}) - \Phi^{-1}(p_B^{(i)}) \Bigr)$$







Numerical Results

• We evaluate our TPC method on ModelNet40 dataset, using a model with **PointNet** architecture.

• Metric: certified accuracy, defined by the fraction of point clouds that are classified both *correctly* and *consistently* within certain transformation space. • Our TPC method scales up well to large point clouds. The certified accuracy increases as the number of points increases.

Transformation	Attack radius	Certified Accuracy (%)	
		TPC	DeepG3D
ZYX-rotation	2°	81.4	61.6
	5°	69.2	49.6
General rotation	5°	78.5	-
	10°	69.2	-
	15°	55.5	-
Z-rotation	20°	84.2	81.8
	60°	83.8	81.0
	180°	81.3	-
Z-shear	0.03	83.4	59.8
	0.1	82.2	-
	0.2	77.7	-
Z-twist	20°	83.8	20.3
	60°	80.1	-
	180°	64.3	-
Z-taper	0.1	78.1	69.0
	0.2	76.5	23.9
	0.5	66.0	-
Linear	0.1	74.0	-
	0.2	59.9	-
7 traint a	20°, 1°	78.9	13.8
Z-twist o	$20^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}$	78.5	-
Z-rotation	$50^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}$	76.9	-
Z-taper ∘	0.1, 1°	76.1	58.2
Z-rotation	0.2, 1°	72.9	17.5
Z-twist \circ Z-taper	$10^{\circ}, 0.1, 1^{\circ}$	68.8	17.5
\circ Z-rotation	20° , 0.2, 1°	63.1	4.6



Paper